REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and in view of the reasons that follow.

Claims 20-23 are requested to be cancelled.

Claims 1, 10, 13, 15-19, 24, 25 and 27 are currently being amended.

This amendment changes and deletes claims in this application. A detailed listing of all claims that are, or were, in the application, irrespective of whether the claim(s) remain under examination in the application, is presented, with an appropriate defined status identifier.

After amending the claims as set forth above, claims 1-19 and 24-27 are now pending in this application.

Claim Objections

Claims 1, 10, 13, 15, 20, 23, 24, 25 and 27 were objected to for informalities. In response, claims 1, 10, 13, 15, 24, 25 and 27 have been amended to correct those informalities. Claims 20 and 23 have been canceled, thus mooting the rejection of these claims. Accordingly, Applicant requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In response, claim 1 has been amended to distinctly claim the subject matter of claim 1. Accordingly, Applicant requests reconsideration and that the rejection be withdrawn.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 101

Claims 16-19 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 for being related to non-statutory subject matter. In response, claims 16-19 have been amended to recite a "tangible computer readable medium," thus excluding signals. Accordingly, Applicant requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Han et al. In response, without agreeing or acquiescing to the rejection, Applicant cancels claims 20-23, and amends claims 1, 10, 13, 15-19, 24, 25 and 27 to further define the invention. Further, Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection for the reasons set forth below.

Applicant relies on M.P.E.P. § 2131, entitled "Anticipation – Application of 35 U.S.C. § 102(a), (b) and (e)" which states, "a claim is anticipated only if each and every element set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." Applicant respectfully submits that Han does not describe each and every element of independent claims 1, 10, 13, 15, 24, 25 and 27 as amended.

Claim 1 is directed to a method of generating data. Claim 10 is directed to a computing device arranged to hold data intended for transmission to at least one of a predetermined combination of data receiving devices. Claims 13, 25 and 27 are directed to a device arranged to concurrently establish a data connection between a computing device and at least a first and a second data-receiving device. Claims 15 and 24 are directed toward a network comprising at least one computing device and at least two data receiving devices.

For example, the method of claim 1 recites providing data, at least one rule, and identifiers, wherein the identifiers are associated with portions of the data and are arranged to identity those portion of the data, and the at least one rule specifies for the predetermined combination of first and second data-receiving devices to which device a portion of the data having a predetermined identifier should be sent. The method further includes the steps of connecting to the first and second data-receiving devices, wherein the connection is treated as a single session, giving the first and second data-receiving devices a single session ID and selecting portions of the data for transmission to at least one of the data-receiving devices depending upon the at least one rule. Independent claims 10, 13, 15, 24, 25 and 27 contain similar limitations.

One advantage of the method and devices for carrying out the method is that it allows the two data-receiving devices to be used in conjunction with one another with the data being shared between the two devices as deemed appropriate and as specified within the rules. Han does not disclose, teach or suggest each and every element of the independent claims. Han is directed to an XML framework used in multi-device web browsing. Han discloses a framework that splits a web page and delivers appropriate partial views of the web page to multiple user devices. However, Han fails to disclose connecting to the first and second data-receiving devices, wherein the connection is treated as a single session, giving the first and second data-receiving devices a single session ID as claimed in amended independent claims 1, 10, 13, 15, 24, 25 and 27. M.P.E.P. § 2131 states that "[t]he identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the claim." *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 868 F.2d 1226, 1236 (Fed. Cir. 1989). Here, Han fails to disclose each and every element in as complete detail as claimed in the claims.

Accordingly, Applicant requests that the rejection be withdrawn and independent claims 1, 10, 13, 15, 24, 25 and 27 be allowed. Further, claims 2-9, 11-12, 14, 16-19 and 26 depend from one of claims 1, 10, 13, 15, 24, 25 and 27 and should be allowed for the reasons set forth above without regard to further limitations recited therein. If this rejection of the claims is maintained, the examiner is respectfully requested to point out where the abovementioned features are disclosed in Han.

Conclusion

Applicant believes that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any over payment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.25. Additionally, charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 through § 1.21 inclusive, and any other sections in Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations that may regulate fees.

Respectfully submitted,

Date October 23, 2007

Customer Number: 22879

Telephone: Facsimile:

(202) 672-5485

e: (202) 672-5399

By Wan K. R. Kay. No. 59, 396

William T. Ellis

Attorney for Applicant Registration No. 26,874